

## Goal: PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE

### *Desired Community Condition(s)*

The street system is well designed and maintained.

### Program Strategy:GF STREET SERVICES

58512

Plan, provide, and maintain adequate and safe street systems.

**Department:** MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT

#### **Service Activities**

Street Cleaning

Traffic Signals

Traffic Engineering / Analysis

Traffic Electricity

Street Maintenance

#### **Strategy Purpose and Description**

The Street Services Program Strategy provides planning and implementation of quality multi-modal transportation networks throughout the City. Currently, street maintenance work is performed on over 4,108 lane miles of roadway. Increased funding provided by the Transportation tax for street rehabilitation and repair allows for expanded efforts in the upgrading of street conditions and preventive maintenance projects. Permits are issued for work in the right-of-way to minimize traffic impacts by planning the provision of alternate routes with no obstructions. Barricade inspection of permitted projects contributes to safe transportation environments. The safe, efficient flow of motorized, non-motorized, and pedestrian traffic is managed through proper signage, markings, street lights, and the coordination of traffic signals and control devices. Safe pedestrian access is provided by the inspection of new sidewalk and curb ramp installations along with replacement of deficient sidewalks and curb ramps.

Approximately 3,800 curb miles are swept annually by crews operating 12 sweepers during the day.

The number of accidents on the street system is influenced by many variables including weather, enforcement, changes in drivers, population, congestion, etc. These statistics are provided to show changes through time. (Calendar year data) Data is for reports made by APD officers in the field - does not include driver reports filed with APD after accident. Next year will report data within City Limits.

The Street Maintenance Division has the priority responsibility to maintain and rehabilitate over 3,900 lane miles of roadway. The Division has assigned the following sections to address these functions: Unpaved Road Maintenance; Paved Street Maintenance; Sweeping; Concrete & Structures; Street Rating and Construction Management; Permit and Inspection; and Storm/Emergency Response and the Street Rehabilitation Program. The Transportation Tax will improve the condition of our streets as indicated below

#### **Changes and Key Initiatives**

The conversion of traffic signal faces to LED lamps (light emitting diodes) to reduce electricity use was proposed and implemented in FY/01 with continued success and funding in FY/02 and FY03.

Update permit fee structure, including the implementation of road usage fees, to encourage less impact to the motoring public.

The city must continue to provide easily accessible, accurate, and timely Traffic Reports and special traffic bulletins to the public; including monitoring of the 242-ROAD hotline and providing mapping of construction sites on City website.

#### **Input Measure (\$000's)**

2001	110	110 GENERAL FUND	12,375
2001	282	282 GAS TAX ROAD FUND	2,768
2002	110	110 GENERAL FUND	12,375
2002	282	282 GAS TAX ROAD FUND	5,005
2003	110	110 GENERAL FUND	12,347
2004	110	110 GENERAL FUND	7,822
2004	282	282 GAS TAX ROAD FUND	4,717
2005	110	110 GENERAL FUND	9,970
2005	282	282 GAS TAX ROAD FUND	4,812

2006	110	110 GENERAL FUND	9,291
2006	282	282 GAS TAX ROAD FUND	4,941

<i>Strategy Outcome</i>	<i>Measure</i>	<i>Year</i>	<i>Project</i>	<i>Mid Year</i>	<i>Actual</i>	<i>Notes</i>
Safe streets	Provide accident data each year to give an indication of safety of the street system.  (Current data available) 1998 Total Accidents	2001	na		21,993	2000 Calendar Year
		2002	na		23,228	2001 Calendar Year
	Provide accident data each year to give an indication of safety of the street system.	2003	na		10,641	Total accidents January - June 2002
Safe streets		2004	tbd	Report at Yr	Report at year end	

<i>Strategy Outcome</i>	<i>Measure</i>	<i>Year</i>	<i>Project</i>	<i>Mid Year</i>	<i>Actual</i>	<i>Notes</i>
Safe streets	-with injury	2001	na		5,097	2000 Calendar Year
Safe streets		2002	na		5406	2001 Calendar Year
Safe streets		2003	na		2,037	January - June 2002
		2004	Report at Year End	Report at Ye	Report at Year End	

<i>Strategy Outcome</i>	<i>Measure</i>	<i>Year</i>	<i>Project</i>	<i>Mid Year</i>	<i>Actual</i>	<i>Notes</i>
Safe streets	Pedestrian involved	2001	na		158	2000 Calendar Year
		2002	na		262	2001 Calendar Year

2003	na	117	January - June 2002
------	----	-----	---------------------

2004	Report at Year End	Report at Ye	Report at Year End
------	--------------------	--------------	--------------------

<i>Strategy Outcome</i>	<i>Measure</i>	<i>Year</i>	<i>Project</i>	<i>Mid Year</i>	<i>Actual</i>	<i>Notes</i>
-------------------------	----------------	-------------	----------------	-----------------	---------------	--------------

Safe streets	<i>Pedestrian fatality</i>	2001	na		9	2000 Calendar Year
--------------	----------------------------	------	----	--	---	--------------------

2002	na	2	2001 Calendar Year
------	----	---	--------------------

2003	na	11	Calendar Year 2002
------	----	----	--------------------

2004	Report at Year End	Report at Ye	Report at Year End
------	--------------------	--------------	--------------------

<i>Strategy Outcome</i>	<i>Measure</i>	<i>Year</i>	<i>Project</i>	<i>Mid Year</i>	<i>Actual</i>	<i>Notes</i>
-------------------------	----------------	-------------	----------------	-----------------	---------------	--------------

Safe streets	<i>Bike involved</i>	2001	na		111	2000 Calendar Year
--------------	----------------------	------	----	--	-----	--------------------

2002	na	167	2001 Calendar Year
------	----	-----	--------------------

2003	na	82	January - June 2002
------	----	----	---------------------

2004	Report at Year End	Report at Ye	Report at Year End
------	--------------------	--------------	--------------------

<i>Strategy Outcome</i>	<i>Measure</i>	<i>Year</i>	<i>Project</i>	<i>Mid Year</i>	<i>Actual</i>	<i>Notes</i>
-------------------------	----------------	-------------	----------------	-----------------	---------------	--------------

Safe streets	<i>Bike fatality</i>	2001	na		1	2000 Calendar Year
--------------	----------------------	------	----	--	---	--------------------

2002	na	2	2001 Calendar Year
------	----	---	--------------------

2003	na	2	Calendar Year 2002
------	----	---	--------------------

2004	Report at Year End	Report at Ye	Report at Year End
------	-----------------------	--------------	-----------------------

Strategy Outcome	Measure	Year	Project	Mid Year	Actual	Notes
Safe streets	Auto fatalities	2001	na		32	2000 Calendar Year
		2002	na		26	2001 Calendar Year
		2003	na		22	Calendar Year 2002
		2004	Report at Year End	Report at Ye	Report at Year End	

Strategy Outcome	Measure	Year	Project	Mid Year	Actual	Notes
Plan, provide, and maintain adequate and safe street system	% of street lane miles in one of five measured categories)	2001	na		NA	Excellent 610 15%
						Good 972 25%
						Fair 1,026 27%
						Poor 1,137 29%
						Very Poor 157 4%
	% of street lane miles in one of five measured categories) Storm/Emergency Response and the Street Rehabilitation Program. The Transportation Tax will improve the condition of our streets as indicated below	2002	na			Excellent 808 21%
						Good 1,191 30%
						Fair 973 25%
						Poor 854 22%
						Very Poor 69 2%
	% of street lane miles in one of five measured categories)	2003	na		See Notes	Excellent 1186 29%
						Good 1,362 33%
						Fair 794 19%
						Poor 663 16%
						Very Poor 52 1%

	2004	see notes	See notes	<i>Excellent</i> 1,295.7 32% <i>Good</i> 1,483.8 36% <i>Fair</i> 711.6 17% <i>Poor</i> 534.3 13% <i>Very Poor</i> 22.74 2% <i>This is the projected end of  FY2004 program with the total  inventory of 4101.8 ln. miles. Mid  year figures are similar to end of  FY 03 due to winter season  prevents much pavement work.</i>
<i>% of street lane miles in one of five categories</i>	2005	see notes	see notes	<i>The FY05 End Year Street  Inventory Status</i> <i>ARTERIAL</i> <i>Excellent Rated Street</i> 505 <i>lane miles</i> <i>Good Rated Street</i> 479 <i>lane</i> <i>miles</i> <i>Fair Rated Street</i> 251 <i>lane</i> <i>miles</i> <i>Poor Rated Streets</i> 23 <i>lane</i> <i>miles</i> <i>Very Poor Rated Streets</i> 0 <i>lane miles</i> <i>RESIDENTIAL</i> <i>Excellent Rated Street</i> 702 <i>lane miles</i> <i>Good Rated Street</i> 884 <i>lane</i> <i>miles</i> <i>Fair Rated Street</i> 548 <i>lane</i> <i>miles</i> <i>Poor Rated Streets</i> 637 <i>lane miles</i> <i>Very Poor Rated Streets</i> 50 <i>lane miles</i> <i>Dirt Roads</i> 17 <i>lane</i> <i>miles</i> <i>Milled surfaced Roads</i> 22 <i>lane miles</i>  <i>TOTAL STREET 4118 lane miles</i>
	2006	see notes		

**Goal:** PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE  
**Parent Program Strategy:** GF STREET SERVICES  
**Department:** MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT

**Service Activity:** Street Cleaning

5861000

**Service Activity Purpose and Description**

Street Sweeping Operation is responsible for cleaning 10,920 curb miles of residential and arterial streets four times per year, 34 curb miles in the old town & CBDistrict weekly, and 1,650 curb miles of bike lanes four times per year. This addresses City concerns with Air Quality, NPDES permitting requirements and the general quality of life resulting from clean streets

**Changes and Key Initiatives**

**Input Measure (\$000's)**

2002	110	110 GENERAL FUND	1,506
2003	110	110 GENERAL FUND	1,505
2004	110	110 GENERAL FUND	1,307
2005	110	110 GENERAL FUND	1,936
2006	110	110 GENERAL FUND	1,864

**Strategic Accomplishments**

The sweeping inventory is being recorded on the GIS system so the actual sweeping accomplished can be monitored and improved. Records are being kept for compliance with NPDES permitting. This record keeping will allow forecasting of sweeping schedule on the internet for the General Public.

Bike lane sweeping City wide is currently occurring on a 4 times per year rotation.

The complaints for sweeping requests are currently at a level of 4 per month. Crews are able to address and respond within one week and this is not considered a valid service measure because of the low number of requests

Output Measures	Year	Projected	Mid-Year	Actual	Notes
# of Curb Miles Swept	2001			36,000	
# of Curb Miles Swept	2002	36,000			
	2003	26000		51,925	Residential & Arterial = 32,760 Additional arterial sweeping = 12,361 Downtown/Oldtown = 1,584 Arterial Bike lanes = 4,950
	2004	32,400	9,882	19,764	
# of Curb Miles Swept	2005	51,925 curb miles		58,471 curb miles	8,442 tons of debris
	2006	51,925 curb miles			

Output Measures	Year	Projected	Mid-Year	Actual	Notes
Street Sweeping Frequency	2001				
	2002	see notes			

2003	see notes		51,925	<i>In Residential and Arterial the sweeping frequency is the same with a cycling through of 3 times per year. The downtown/old town inventory is swept every Friday. Chappal Road twice per month. A sweeper dedicated to bike lanes swept through the bikelane inventory an additional two times above the routine arterial sweeping.</i>
2004	see notes	ce every 4 m	once every 5 months	<i>Residential areas swept once every five months. All other streets same as previous years. There are now 3,800 curb miles and 12 sweepers</i>

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Street Sweeping Frequency	2002	see notes			
	2003	see notes		32,753	
	2004	see notes	ice every we	see notes	<i>Downtown Arterial Business District, Old Town, Convention Center area swept once a week. Arterial and Collectors swept once every two months. Residential areas swept once every five months.</i>

<b>Quality Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Sweeping complaints per 100 curb miles of roadway	2001			7.5	
Sweeping complaints per 100 curb miles of roadway	2002	7.5			
Sweeping complaints per 100 curb miles of roadway	2003	8.0		0.029	<i>119 requests for sweeping with 4108 lane miles which is 0.029 complaints per lane miles in FY2003</i>
	2004	8.0	1%	1%	

<b>Quality Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Silt & debris removal from Street ROW and disposal to the Cerro Colorado Landfill	2005	10,560 tons		8442 Tons	<i>This addresses the NPDES Permit reporting requirement and Air Quality issues</i>
	2006	10,560 tons			

**Goal: PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**  
**Parent Program Strategy: GF STREET SERVICES**  
**Department: MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT**

**Service Activity: Traffic Signals**

**5866000**

***Service Activity Purpose and Description***

Provides for the installation of new and the repair and maintenance of existing traffic signals, signs and markings. These traffic control devices are necessary to operate the street system of the City and impact the whole community. Many of these signage devices are beyond their useful life, but are essential to operate the street system safely and efficiently.

***Changes and Key Initiatives***

Traffic growth, vehicle miles traveled, in the Albuquerque Metro Area has been approximately 5% per year for the last few years and new arterial and collector lane mileage has increased which has increased the need for new traffic signals, signs, and markings. Develop simple tools to show reasonable correlation and prediction of the need for new traffic signals, signs and markings. Also develop inventory of current back log for these traffic control devices.

***Input Measure (\$000's)***

2002	110	110 GENERAL FUND	3,223
2003	110	110 GENERAL FUND	3,091
2004	110	110 GENERAL FUND	2,240
2005	110	110 GENERAL FUND	2,937
2006	110	110 GENERAL FUND	2,940

***Strategic Accomplishments***

<b><i>Output Measures</i></b>	<b><i>Year</i></b>	<b><i>Projected</i></b>	<b><i>Mid-Year</i></b>	<b><i>Actual</i></b>	<b><i>Notes</i></b>
# of signalized intersections	2001			545	
# of signalized intersections	2002	555		559	
# of signalized intersections-	2003	560		565	
# of signalized intersections-Traffic growth, vehicle miles traveled, in the Albuquerque Metro Area has been approximately 5% per year for the last few years and new arterial and collector lane mileage has increased which has increased the need for new traffic signals, signs, and markings. Develop simple tools to show reasonable correlation and prediction of the need for new traffic signals, signs and markings. Also develop inventory of current back log for these traffic control devices.	2004	567	565	567	

<b><i>Output Measures</i></b>	<b><i>Year</i></b>	<b><i>Projected</i></b>	<b><i>Mid-Year</i></b>	<b><i>Actual</i></b>	<b><i>Notes</i></b>
Controllers repaired	2001			115	
Controllers repaired	2002	120		147	
	2003	100		108	
	2004	125	65	143	
	2005	125			



2006 125

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Damaged signals	2001			350	
Damaged signals	2002	350		249	
	2003	340		365	
	2004	225	128	267	
	2005	250			
	2006	250			

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
LED Lamp change - intersections	2001			250	
LED Lamp change - intersections	2002	200		215	
	2003	100		125	
	2004	18-500	23	23	Depends on funding 0 - 500

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Lamps changed (due to burn out)	2001			1,100	
Lamps changed (due to burn out)	2002	800		1396	
	2003	750		575	
	2004	225	53	1045	Now includes all signal lamps replaced.
	2005	750			
	2006	750			

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
New school beacons	2001			6	
New school beacons	2002	4		4	
	2003	2		3	
	2004	2	0	1	
	2005	2			
	2006	2			

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Other major projects (major reconstruction - \$25K or greater)	2001			25	
Other major projects (major reconstruction - \$25K or greater)	2002	20		12	
	2003	5		6	
	2004	5	2	4	

2005	5
2006	5

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Response/Call-Out Maintenance -	2001			160	Maintenance on signal knock downs
Response/Call-Out Maintenance -	2002	190		193	
	2003	190		200	
	2004	200	98	4366	Changed reporting for this measure. Including all calls for maintenance at signals.
	2005	4000			
	2006	4000			

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Signal Maintenance - There are two components to signal maintenance activities - scheduled maintenance & response or call-outs to problems. Response maintenance is reported based on recorded number from previous FY	2001	3,700		3,700	
Signal Maintenance - There are two components to signal maintenance activities - scheduled maintenance & response or call-outs to problems. Response maintenance is reported based on recorded number from previous FY	2002	4000		4922	
	2003	4,200		4,150	
	2004	6,000	3,116	4049	
	2005	4,000			
	2006	4,000			

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
# of major Signal installations	2001	na		16	
# of major Signal installations	2002	26		20	
	2003	5		4	
	2004	5	2	5	- Time per installation varies significantly by type of project. The number of projects by type varies by number of projects warranted and prioritization by need. Report number of major installations per FY by type.
	2005	5			
	2006	5			

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Signals adjusted	2001			310	
Signals adjusted	2002	275		587	

2003	300		315
2004	320	185	478

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Traffic Marking Inventory	2001				<i>Begin to develop inventories and tracking.</i>
	2002	NA			
	2003	see notes		see notes	<i>Develop inventory and tracking.</i>
	2004	see notes	see notes	see notes	<i>No progress - No personnel</i>

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Traffic Sign Inventory	2001				<i>Begin to develop inventories and tracking.</i>
	2002	see notes			<i>Continue inventory development and tracking.</i>
	2003	see notes		See Notes	<i>Continue inventory.</i>
	2004	see notes	see notes	see notes	<i>Performing material inventory</i>

<b>Quality Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
New installations of protected left turn arrows at existing traffic signal installations	2001			16	
	2002	26		7	
New installations of protected left turn arrows at existing traffic signal installations	2003	5		4	
	2004	4	2	4	

<b>Quality Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
New installations of school crossing signs with higher retro-reflectivity levels which increase the sign visibility and intersection safety	2001			400	
	2002	NA			<i>Complete</i>
New installations of school crossing signs with higher retro-reflectivity levels which increase the sign visibility and intersection safety	2003	NA		Complete	<i>Complete</i>

<b>Quality Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
New installations of stop signs with higher retro-reflectivity levels which should increase the sign visibility and intersection safety	2001			1,200	
New installations of stop signs with higher retro-reflectivity levels which should increase the sign visibility and intersection safety	2002	1,200		1500	
	2003	1200		910	
	2004	1,200	683	875	

**Goal:** PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE

**Parent Program Strategy:** GF STREET SERVICES

**Department:** MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT

**Service Activity:** Traffic Engineering / Analysis

5870000

***Service Activity Purpose and Description***

Monitors the operations of the existing street system, tracks complaints and concerns about the system, and performs the analyses to determine appropriate revisions for improved traffic control. Everyone using the street system is directly impacted in terms of safety and operational efficiency of the street system which is affected by these analyses and decisions.

Traffic conditions change periodically on much of the system and the traffic control measures implemented balance the competing needs and desires for traffic movement and safety.

Traffic studies are performed to determine when changes to traffic control should be made. Studies are performed for multi-way stops, traffic signals, left turn arrows, speed limits, and traffic counts.

***Changes and Key Initiatives***

Continue to improve the inventory of traffic control devices and the complaint tracking system to better respond to changes in traffic conditions and prioritize the direction of work efforts for new traffic control and maintenance.

***Input Measure (\$000's)***

2002	110	110 GENERAL FUND	1,106
2003	110	110 GENERAL FUND	723
2004	110	110 GENERAL FUND	786
2005	110	110 GENERAL FUND	1,048
2006	110	110 GENERAL FUND	879

***Strategic Accomplishments***

None

<i>Output Measures</i>	<i>Year</i>	<i>Projected</i>	<i>Mid-Year</i>	<i>Actual</i>	<i>Notes</i>
Inventory Loading Zones	2001			see notes	33 existing
Inventory Loading Zones	2002	see notes			36 existing
	2003	see notes		see notes	36 existing
	2004	40	40	40	36 existing

<i>Output Measures</i>	<i>Year</i>	<i>Projected</i>	<i>Mid-Year</i>	<i>Actual</i>	<i>Notes</i>
Review Loading Zone Fees Gather information about existing loading zone fees and determine whether any changes should be made	2001			NA	
	2002				
	2003	see notes		see notes	Complete

<i>Output Measures</i>	<i>Year</i>	<i>Projected</i>	<i>Mid-Year</i>	<i>Actual</i>	<i>Notes</i>
Mutiway Stop Study	2001			60	Multiway Stop
Mutiway Stop Study	2002	65		42	
	2003	40		37	

2004	12	6	11	Reduced due to staff reduction needed for obstructions.
------	----	---	----	---

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Traffic Signal	2001			75	
Traffic Signal	2002	41		32	
	2003	18		19	Loss of Traffic Safety Engineer significantly reduces studies
	2004	12	7	9	Loss of Traffic Safety Engineer significantly reduces studies.

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Left turn arrow	2001			15	
	2002	31		36	
	2003	15		18	Loss of Traffic Safety Engineer reduces number of studies significantly
	2004	10	8	10	Loss of Traffic Safety Engineer - number of studies reduced

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Speed Studies	2001			50	
	2002	25		25	
Speed Studies (Other than NTMP)	2003	30		19	Staff reduced
	2004	12	3	18	Staff Reduced

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Traffic Counts	2001			900	
	2002	585		868	
	2003	600		932	Through February
	2004	1,000	736	885	
	2005	850			
	2006	850			

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
# of Traffic Studies Performed	2001	na			
	2002	NA		938	
# of Traffic Studies Performed	2003	85		92	
	2004	NA	NA	NA	
	2005	NA			
	2006	NA			

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
% of city covered for elimination of sign obstruction	2001	na		25%	25% of City covered
% of city covered for elimination of sign obstruction	2002	see notes		30%	Percentage of city covered by systematic review
% of city covered for elimination of sign obstruction.	2003	see notes		34%	Reduced staff by 25%
% of city covered for elimination of sign obstruction	2004	30%	10%	26%	Cover entire city every two years. One investigator position vacant for 6 months (83% Staffing level for the year,
Obstruction investigation - percentage of city covered	2005	30%			Percentage completion based upon number of inspectors available to perform work. Estimated investigation based upon 10% per person-year.
	2006	30%			

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Obstruction Investigation in Response to Complaints	2001	na			
	2002	see notes		517	
	2003	see notes		606	Through February
	2004	550	277	490	Investigation of obstructions that are brought to our attention by complaint. Involves stop signs, clear sight triangle, and sidewalks.

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
CLEAR SIGHT TRIANGLE OBSTRUCTION	2001			500	Clear Sight Triangle
	2002	197		1104	
	2003	200		1252 (estimate)	
	2004	400	132	1035	

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
SIDEWALK OBSTRUCTION	2001			400	
	2002			5518	
	2003	250		6579 (estimate)	Reduced staff
	2004	2,000	886	4386	Number of notices sent

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
STOP SIGN OBSTRUCTION	2001			200	
	2002	69		202	
	2003	70		111	Through February
	2004	200	168	254	

<i><b>Output Measures</b></i>	<i><b>Year</b></i>	<i><b>Projected</b></i>	<i><b>Mid-Year</b></i>	<i><b>Actual</b></i>	<i><b>Notes</b></i>
TRAFFIC STUDIES	2005	40			
	2006	40			

<i><b>Output Measures</b></i>	<i><b>Year</b></i>	<i><b>Projected</b></i>	<i><b>Mid-Year</b></i>	<i><b>Actual</b></i>	<i><b>Notes</b></i>
Obstructions observed/noitces sent	2005	5500			
	2006	5500			



**Goal: PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**  
**Parent Program Strategy: GF STREET SERVICES**  
**Department: MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT**

**Service Activity: Traffic Electricity**

**5873000**

**Service Activity Purpose and Description**

Provide electricity necessary to operate streetlights, traffic signals, and flashing beacons at school crossing and other locations. Streetlights are needed to safely operate the street system at night and traffic signals and beacons are needed for safe and efficient operation of the street system at all times. The devices are essential to operate street safely and efficiently.

Streetlights and traffic signal control devices are used by everyone accessing the street system.

The current system is operating in a safe and efficient manner.

New additions and modifications to existing streetlighting, traffic signal and flashing beacon systems are carried out as demand requires and budget allows.

**Changes and Key Initiatives**

In meeting the needs and requirements of a growing service area, new streetlights, new traffic signals and new school crossing beacons are added to our street system every year.

**Input Measure (\$000's)**

2002	110	110 GENERAL FUND	3,880
2003	110	110 GENERAL FUND	3,737
2004	110	110 GENERAL FUND	3,489
2005	110	110 GENERAL FUND	3,602
2006	110	110 GENERAL FUND	3,608

**Strategic Accomplishments**

FY/02 (projected): Continue LED conversion in traffic signals to reduce energy consumption.

FY/03: Complete LED conversion of red signals.

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Inventory Street Lights	2001	see notes			Develop inventory and report number of lights by type and ownership.
	2002	see notes			Continue to collect data.
	2003	note		see note	Obtained new database of lights from PNM.
	2004	Work initiated	Work initiated	Work initiated	Improve management of the street lighting system by developing an inventory system of street lights by type and report new streetlights each fiscal year by type (i.e. lamp wattage, pole) and ownership (i.e. City, PNM) Check database and make any needed corrections

<b>Output Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Savings in electricity with use of LED signals	2001	see notes			One half of the projected LED red lights have been installed with little time to evaluate savings.
	2002	see notes			80% of red signals converted. Estimated traffic signal savings 40%.
	2003	see notes		see notes	100% red signals converted - 50% savings.

Savings in electricity with use of LED signals	2004	see notes	see notes	see notes	Use estimated electricity saving to buy additional LED replacements. Continue replacing LED's with savings until all red and green indications are replaced Money for replacement of LED was eliminated from budget.
Savings in electricity with use of LED signals	2005	see notes			
	2006	see notes			

<b>Quality Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Electricity savings due to LED right light conversions	2003	50%		50%	
	2004	50%+ (Traffic Signals)	50%+ (Traffic Sign	50%+ (Traffic Signals)	

**Goal: PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE**  
**Parent Program Strategy: GF STREET SERVICES**  
**Department: MUNICIPAL DEVELOPMENT**

**Service Activity: Street Maintenance**

**6260000**

***Service Activity Purpose and Description***

The Street Maintenance Division has the priority responsibility of maintaining and rehabilitating over 4,101.8 lane miles of roadway. The Division has assigned the following sections to address these functions: Unpaved Road Maintenance; Paved Street Maintenance; Sweeping; Concrete & Structures; Street Rating and Construction Management; Permit and Inspection; and Storm/Emergency Response.

The Unpaved Road Section grades and maintains unpaved roads and the rural type street system. The Paved Street Section responds to pavement distress, scheduled maintenance, and service cut repairs. The Sweeping Section provides removal of debris, leaves, and dirt from the street on a programmed frequency. The Concrete & Structures Section responds to repairs on sidewalks, handicap access issues, and curbing. The Street Rating/Construction Management Section manages the rating of the street condition and priorities the Basic Service fund, Gas Tax fund, and Bond fund expenditures for street rehabilitation. The Permit and Inspection Section reviews and approves installation of all driveways, sidewalks, and private utility service cut repairs. The operation sections will mobilize and address snow storm and flash flooding problems as well as assisting the Fire Department on fuel spills and other emergency situations.

***Changes and Key Initiatives***

Due to the implementation of the Transportation Tax, street rehabilitation has increased three fold. Streets assigned paving crews previously dedicated to patching and paving services for the Utility Enterprise Fund (to be provided through private competitive bidding) to Transportation Tax projects, thus redirecting crew efforts to preventive maintenance and rehabilitation functions. Since the late 80's, miles of roadway have increased by 20%, but the work force has been reduced by 17%, or 22 employees. Streets would like to supplement infrastructure tax objectives by assigning these asphalt and concrete paving crews to fog and crack sealing projects. This will extend street life by keeping newly paved or constructed streets in better condition. Preventative maintenance is less costly to perform in house, it reduces the impact on the public, and it saves the City money.

***Input Measure (\$000's)***

2002	282	282 GAS TAX ROAD FUND	5,005
2003	282	282 GAS TAX ROAD FUND	4,810
2004	282	282 GAS TAX ROAD FUND	4,717
2005	282	282 GAS TAX ROAD FUND	4,812
2006	282	282 GAS TAX ROAD FUND	4,941

***Strategic Accomplishments***

FY/02: Rehabilitate 330 lane miles of streets that are characterized as "Fair", "Poor", or "Very Poor" in the Street Maintenance street rating and inventory.

<b><i>Output Measures</i></b>	<b><i>Year</i></b>	<b><i>Projected</i></b>	<b><i>Mid-Year</i></b>	<b><i>Actual</i></b>	<b><i>Notes</i></b>
# OF Potholes Repaired	2001			4,000	
# OF Potholes Repaired	2002	3,000			
	2003	2,500	640	1280	
	2004	2,500	729	2741	
	2005	2,500		3,528	
	2006	2,500			

<b><i>Output Measures</i></b>	<b><i>Year</i></b>	<b><i>Projected</i></b>	<b><i>Mid-Year</i></b>	<b><i>Actual</i></b>	<b><i>Notes</i></b>
Permits & Inspections	2001			14,000	

Permits & Inspections	2002	14,000		
	2003	14,000		14,500
	2004	14,000	5,517	18,000
	2005	14,000	14,200	25,900
	2006	14,000		

Quality Measures	Year	Projected	Mid-Year	Actual	Notes
% of potholes repaired in 48 hrs.	2001			80%	
% of potholes repaired in 48 hrs.	2002	82%			
	2003	75%		99.9%	estimate 20 potholes over 48 hours for 1208 total
	2004	85%	85%	85%	
	2005	85%		98.35%	3528 pothole repairs only 58 exceeded the 48 hour period.
	2006	85%			

Quality Measures	Year	Projected	Mid-Year	Actual	Notes
Blading dirt roads (center lane miles-same miles more than one time)	2001			500	
Blading dirt roads (center lane miles-same miles more than one time)	2002	500			
	2003	500		54	There are only about 18 miles of dirt roads left in City and crews are busy grading alleys and surfacing dirt roads with millings
	2004	500	252	528	Change to 22 miles of dirt road remaining.
	2005	500		55 miles	Most grading now is limited to blading shoulders and alleys since most of the dirt roads have been surfaced with millings to comply with the Air Quality Ordinance. Crews have constructed 12 acres of parking lots in the Balloon Fiesta & Transit. 9.5 miles of alleys were cleaned and surfaced.
	2006	500			

Quality Measures	Year	Projected	Mid-Year	Actual	Notes
Claims per lane miles	2001			0.06	
Claims per lane miles	2002	0.06			

2003	0.06		0.03	<i>There were 123 claims in 4101.8 lane miles</i>
2004	0.06	0.01	.01	
2005	0.06		0.12	<i>estimate 500 claims per year in 4,118 miles</i>
2006	0.06			

<b>Quality Measures</b>	<b>Year</b>	<b>Projected</b>	<b>Mid-Year</b>	<b>Actual</b>	<b>Notes</b>
Complaints per lane mile	2001			0.60	
Complaints per lane mile	2002	0.60			
	2003	0.60		0.74	<i>There were 3,015 work orders which represent requests for work in 4101.8 lane miles which includes street sweeping.</i>
	2004	0.60	.57	.57	
	2005	0.60		0.69	<i>estimated 2853 public request for service in 4118 miles.</i>
	2006	0.60			